

The application has been amended as follows:

- a. In claim 26 line 6 after the words “greater than the first iron” the words -- wherein said set has five or more said adjacent consecutively numbered irons -- have been added.
- b. Claim 32 is canceled.
- c. In claim 40 line 8 after the words “alternating sequential adjacent iron” the words -- wherein said set has four or more said alternating sequential adjacent irons -- have been added.
- d. Claim 46 is canceled.
- e. Claims 54-65 have been cancelled.

FAX

To: Mr. John S. Reid (Reg. No. 36,369)


From: Stephen Blau (Patent and Trademark Office)

Date: 12 January 2005

Encl: This and one other page

Subj: 09/360,881 (HE01-003)

The following is a proposed examiner's amendment to overcome prior art. The change to claim 26 overcomes the references of Bulla (4,498,672, Col. 5, Table, irons 4-6) and Ezaki (4,874,171, Table, irons 8-11). The change to claim 40 is to overcome the reference of Ezaki (4,874,171, Table irons 7, 9, 11). Claims 32 and 46 do not further limit the independent claims and are required to be canceled. Canceling claims 54-65 is due to the reference of Kilshaw where it would be obvious to not have a ten iron and have lie angles which have the same difference between irons due to the reference of Nishizawa (6,071,198, Table 1) and most iron sets in the art. Please review this amendment and call back to agree or suggest other changes. Thank you.


Sincerely, Stephen Blau (571-272-4406)